



Regional Office for Southeast Asia and the Pacific

Preparation for Upcoming Review of UNCAC Chapter 5: Asset Recovery - A Practical Guide to Key Provisions

2 December 2015



Chapter V, Asset Recovery (art. 51-59)

"The return of assets is a fundamental principle of this Convention...

Parties shall afford one another the widest measure of cooperation and assistance in this regard" (art. 51)



Asset Recovery: Chapter V of the UNCAC

Chapter V on Asset Recovery is a <u>major</u>
<u>breakthrough</u> and is closely linked to the other chapters of the Convention:

- Prevention: Chapter II contain provisions on prevention of the diversion of funds, in particular of corruption (art. 8) and money-laundering (art. 14)
- Criminalization: Chapter III establishes the criminal offences to which chapter V is applicable
- International Cooperation: Chapter IV complements
 Chapter V with innovative provisions on international
 cooperation, particularly on mutual legal assistance (art.



Typical steps of an asset recovery case as reflected in the UNCAC: (1)

- Tracing / identifying / locating: art. 52, 55
 (2, 3), 56, 58
- Seizure / Freezing: art. 54, 2 / 55, 2,
 Confiscation: art. 54, 1 / 55,1
- Return / Recovery: art. 57



Prevention and detection of transfer of proceeds of corruption (art. 52)

States Parties must require their financial institutions to:

Verify the identity of customers

- Determine the identity of beneficial owners of high-level accounts
- > Apply enhanced scrutiny to accounts maintained by prominent public officials
 - Report suspicious transactions to competent authorities



Special cooperation and Financial Intelligence Units (art.56-58)



States Parties shall endeavour

➤ Forward information on proceeds of corruption to another Party without request – when believed that this may trigger investigation and prosecution by receiving Party



Establishing Financial Intelligence
Units for receiving, analyzing and
disseminating to competent authorities
reports of suspicious transactions



Typical steps of an asset recovery case as reflected in the UNCAC: (2)

- Tracing / identifying / locating: art. 52, 55 (2, 3), 56, 58
- Seizure / Freezing: art. 54, 2 / 55, 2
 Confiscation: art. 54, 1 / 55,1
- Return / Recovery: art. 57



Art. 54 para 1: Confiscation Models

CONVICTION BASED

- To give effect to a foreign confiscation order, 54 (1a) (mandatory)
- To order confiscation of foreign property, 54 (1b) (mandatory)
 The confiscation follows a criminal conviction against the person.

NON CONVICTION BASED (= IN REM, = CIVIL FORFEITURE)

Consider: Confiscation without criminal conviction (1c) (non mandatory).

The action is against the property, not against a person.



Non-conviction-based confiscation: Art. 54 subpara (1c)

- Civil action against the property. The non-conviction based confiscation follows a tainted property scheme: It has to be proven that the property is tainted (proceeds / instrumentalities)
- Advantages:
- When no conviction is possible (perpetrator absconded, political opposition, weakened judicial system in the requested country)
- Civil standard of proof is applied from the beginning (confiscation may be possible although the perpetrator has to be acquitted by criminal standards)
- No need for dual criminality
- No issues of retroactivity
- Examples: the US, Ireland, the UK, Italy, Australia, Slovenia, Ontario (Canada), South Africa



Typical steps of an asset recovery case as reflected in the UNCAC: (3)

- Tracing / identifying / locating: art. 52, 55 (2, 3), 56, 58
- Seizure / Freezing: art. 54, 2 / 55, 2,
 Confiscation: art. 54, 1 / 55,1
- Return / Recovery: art. 57



The Disposal of Confiscated Property (art.57)

Embezzled public funds or laundering of embezzled public funds_

Return to requesting Party

Return to requesting Party if it can reasonably establishes prior ownership

Proceeds of other offences of corruption

Other cases





Specifics of art. 57 UNCAC, compared to the UNTOC regime on the sharing of confiscated proceeds of crime

- Return of assets is unconditional, the return is not at the discretion of the confiscating State
- Convention establishes rules for the return of assets, depending on how closely the assets were linked to the requesting State Party
- Asset-sharing not foreseen in the Convention, reasonable expenses can be deducted
- Bilateral agreements on the final disposal of confiscated funds are possible, including on voluntary monitoring of the use of returned funds



Recommendations for SEA-PAC Members on Preparation

for 2nd Review Cycle

- Rigorously follow up on recommendations identified (Chapter III and IV) → Foundations to effective asset recovery regime
- Capacity building in the area of financial investigations
 (7 out of 9 ASEAN country reviews identified FI as required TA):
 policy priority as well as legal recognition to use FI evidence
 in courts
- Consideration to enactment/amendment of "Proceeds of Crime-related" Legislation and Framework and MLA



Thank you for your attention!

Akharakit (Ryan) Keeratithanachaiyos Anti-Corruption Specialist

Regional Office for Southeast Asia and the Pacific

United Nations Office on Drugs and Crimes

Tel: (+66-2) 288-1074 Fax: (+66-2) 281-2129



ASIA-PACIFIC INTEGRITY IN ACTION NETWORK ap-intact@groups.undp.org



Resilient nations.